3. Visiting Trees Overview

Computations in structure trees may serve any suitable purpose, e.g.

- compute or check properties of language constructs, e. g. types, values
- determine or check relations in larger contexts, e.g. definition use
- · construct data structure or target text

Formal model for specification: attribute grammars (AGs)

Generator Liga transforms

a specification of computations in the structure tree (an AG written in the specification language Lido)

into

a tree walking attribute evaluator that executes the specified computations for each given tree in a suitable order.

Dependent Computations

SYMBOL Expr, Opr: value: int SYNT; SYMBOL Opr: left, right: int INH; TERM Number: int;

RULE: Root ::= Expr COMPUTE
 printf ("value is %d\n", Expr.value);
END;

RULE: Expr ::= Number COMPUTE
 Expr.value = Number;
END;

```
RULE: Expr ::= Expr Opr Expr COMPUTE
Expr[1].value = Opr.value;
Opr.left = Expr[2].value;
Opr.right = Expr[3].value;
END;
```

```
RULE: Opr ::= '+' COMPUTE
    Opr.value = ADD (Opr.left, Opr.right); END;
RULE: Opr ::= '-' COMPUTE C
    Opr.value = SUB (Opr.left, Opr.right); a
END;
```

typed attributes of symbols

terminal symbol has int value

GSS-3.1

GSS-3.2

SYNThesized attributes are computed in lower contexts, INHerited attributes in upper c..

SYNT or INH usually need not be specified.

Generator determines the order of computations consistent with dependences.

Example:

Computation and output of an expression's value

Computations in Tree Contexts Specified by AGs

GSS-3.1a

Abstract syntax is augmented by:

Attributes associated to nonterminals: e.g. Expr.Value Expr.Type Block.depth used to

store values at tree nodes, representing a property of the construct, propagate values through the tree, specify dependences between computations

Computations associated to productions (RULEs) or to nonterminals (SYMBOL):

Compute attribute values using other attribute values of the particular context (RULE or SYMBOL), or

cause effects, e.g. store values in a definition table, check a condition and issue a message, produce output

Each **attribute** of every node is **computed exactly once**. Each **computation** is **executed exactly once** for every node of the RULE it is specified for.

The order of the computation execution is determined by the generator. It obeys the specified dependences.



GSS-3.4 **Pre- and Postconditions of Computations** RULE: Root ::= Expr COMPUTE Attributes print and printed CHAIN print: VOID; Expr.print = "yes"; don't have values (type VOID) RULE: Root ::= Expr COMPUTE printf ("n") <- Expr.printed;</pre> CHAINSTART HEAD.print = "yes"; They describe states being pre-END; printf ("n") <- TAIL.print;</pre> and postconditions of RULE: Expr ::= Number COMPUTE END; computations Expr.printed = RULE: Expr ::= Number COMPUTE Expr.print: printf ("%d ", Number) <-Expr.print;</pre> Expr.print = END: Postfix output up to this node is printf ("%d ", Number) <-Expr.print;</pre> completed. RULE: Expr ::= Expr Opr Expr COMPUTE END: Expr[2].print = Expr[1].print; Expr.printed: RULE: Expr ::= Expr Opr Expr COMPUTE Expr[3].print = Expr[2].printed;

Postfix output up to and

Expression is printed in

Expr

printf(...

including this node is

completed.

Example:

postfix form

```
END:
RULE: Opr ::= '+' COMPUTE
  Opr.printed =
     printf ("+ ") <- Opr.print;</pre>
END;
```

Ľ.

Opr.print = Expr[3].printed;

Expr[1].printed = Opr.printed;

GSS-3.4b Pattern: Dependences Left-to-Right Depth-First Through the Tree Root added CHAIN CHAINSTART dependences HEAD TAIL CHAIN pre- and Expr postcondition overriding a CHAIN dependence QDI Expr Expr printf(... Expr Expr Opr Expr printf(... printf(.

Expr

orintf(

GSS-3.4a Pattern: Dependences Left-to-Right Depth-First Through the Tree

```
Expr[3].print = Expr[2].print;
  Opr.print = Expr[3].print;
  Expr[1].print = Opr.print;
END:
```

```
RULE: Opr ::= '+' COMPUTE
  Opr.print =
     printf ("+ ") <- Opr.print;</pre>
END;
```

CHAIN specifies left-to-right depth-first dependence.

CHAINSTART in the root context of the CHAIN (initialized with an irrelevant value)

Computations are inserted between pre- and postconditions of the CHAIN

CHAIN order can be overridden.

Omitted CHAIN computations are added automatically

Example:

Output an expression in postfix form (cf. GSS-3.4)





| Reuse of Computations | | GSS-3.10a Reuse of Pairs of SYMBOL Roles | |
|---|--|---|--|
| CLASS SYMBOL IdOcc: Sym: int; CLASS SYMBOL IdOcc COMPUTE SYNT.Sym = TERM; END; SYMBOL DefVarIdent INHERITS IdOcc END; SYMBOL DefTypeIdent INHERITS IdOcc END; SYMBOL UseVarIdent INHERITS IdOcc END; SYMBOL UseTypeIdent INHERITS IdOcc END; | Computations are associated to CLASS symbols, which do not occur in the abstract syntax. INHERITS binds CLASS symbols to tree symbols of the abstract syntax. | CLASS SYMBOL OccRoot COMPUTE CHAINSTART HEAD.Occurs = 0; SYNT.TotalOccs = TAIL.Occurs; END; CLASS SYMBOL OccElem COMPUTE SYNT.OccNo = THIS.Occurs; THIS.Occurs = ADD (SYNT.OccNo, 1); END; | CLASS symbols in cooperating roles, e.g. count occurrences of a language construct (OccElem) in a subtree (OccRoot) Restriction: Every OccElem-node must be in an OccRoot-subtree. |
| CLASS SYMBOL CheckDefined COMPUTE IF (EQ (THIS.Key, NoKey), message (ERROR, "identifier is not defined", 0, COORDREF); END; SYMBOL UseVarIdent INHERITS IdOcc, CheckDefined END; SYMBOL UseTypeIdent INHERITS IdOcc, CheckDefinedEND; | | SYMBOL Block INHERITS OccRoot END; SYMBOL Definition INHERITS OccElem END; SYMBOL Statement INHERITS OccRoot END; SYMBOL Usage INHERITS OccElem END; | Reused in pairs: Block - Definition and Statement - Usage must obey the restriction. Library modules are used in this way (see Ch. 6) |

GSS-3.11

Design Rules for Computations in Trees

1. Decompose the task into **subtasks**, that are small enough to be solved each by only a few of the specification patterns explained below.d

Develop a .lido fragment for each subtask and explain it in the surrounding .fw text.

- 2. Elaborate the central aspect of the subtask and map it onto one of the following cases:
 - A. The aspect is described in a natural way by **properties of some related program constructs**,

e.g. types of expressions, nesting depth of blocks, translation of the statements of a block.

B. The aspect is described in a natural way by **properties of some program entities**, e.g. relative addresses of variables, use of variables before their definition.

Develop the computations as described for A or B.

3. Step 2 may exhibit that further aspects of the subtask need to be solved (attributes may be used, for which the computations are not yet designed). Repeat step 2 for these aspects.

A: Compute Properties of Program Constructs

GSS-3.12

Determine the **type of values**, which describe the property. Introduce **attributes of that type for all symbols**, which represent the **program constructs**. Check which of the following cases fits best for the computation of that property:

A1: Each **lower context** determines the property in a different way: Then develop **RULE computations for all lower contexts**.

A2: As A1; but upper context.

A3: The property can be determined **independently of RULE contexts**, by using only attributes of the symbol or attributes that are accessed via INCLUDING, CONSTI-TUENT(S), CHAIN:

Then develop a lower (SYNT) SYMBOL computation.

- A4: As A3; but there are a **few exceptions**, where either lower of upper (not both) RULE contexts determine the property in a different way: Then develop a upper (INH) or a lower (SYNT) **SYMBOL computation** and **override it in the deviating RULE contexts**.
- A5: As A4; but for **recursive symbols**: The begin of the recursion is considered to be the exception of A4, e.g. nesting depth of Blocks.

If none of the cases fits, the design of the property is to be reconsiderd; it may be too complex, and may need further refinement.