7. Specification of Dynamic Semantics

The effect of executing a program is called its dynamic semantics. It can be described by composing the effects of executing the elements of the program, according to its abstract syntax. For that purpose the dynamic semantics of executable language constructs are specified.

Informal specifications are usually formulated in terms of an abstract machine, e.g.

Each variable has a storage cell, suitable to store values of the type of the variable. An **assignment** $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{e}$ is **executed** by the following steps: determine the storage cell of the variable v, **evaluate the expression** e yielding a value x, an storing x in the storage cell of v.

The effect of common operators (like arithmetic) is usually not further defined (pragmatics).

The effect of an **erroneous program construct is undefined**. An erroneous program is not executable. The language specification often does not explicitly state, what happens if an erroneous program construct is executed, e.g.

The **execution of an input statement is undefined** if the next value of the input is **not a value of the type** of the variable in the statement.

A **formal calculus** for specification of dynamic semantics is **denotational semantics**. It **maps language constructs to functions**, which are then **composed** according to the abstract syntax.

PLaC-7.2

Denotational semantics

Formal calculus for specification of dynamic semantics.

The executable constructs of the **abstract syntax are mapped on functions**, thus defining their effect.

For a given structure tree the functions associated to the tree nodes are **composed** yielding a semantic function of the whole program - **statically**!

That calculus allows to

- prove dynamic properties of a program formally,
- reason about the **function of the program** rather than about is operational execution,
- reason about dynamic properties of language constructs formally.

A **denotational specification** of dynamic semantics of a programming language consists of:

- specification of **semantic domains**: in imperative languages they model the program state
- a function E that maps all expression constructs on semantic functions
- a function c that maps all statement contructs on semantic functions

Semantic domains

Semantic domains describe the domains and ranges of the semantic functions of a particular language. For an imperative language the central semantic domain describes the program state.

Example: semantic domains of a very **simple imperative language**:

| State | = Memory × Input × Output | program state |
|--------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Memory | = Ident $ ightarrow$ Value | storage |
| Input | = Value* | the input stream |
| Output | = Value* | the output stream |
| Value | = Numeral Bool | legal values |

Consequences for the language specified using these semantic domains:

• The language can allow **only global variables**, because a 1:1-mapping is assumed between identifiers and storage cells. In general the storage has to be modelled:

```
Memory = Ident \rightarrow (Location \rightarrow Value)
```

• Undefined values and an error state are not modelled; hence, behaviour in erroneous cases and exeption handling can not be specified with these domains.

PLaC-7.4

Mapping of expressions

Let **Expr** be the set of all **constructs of the abstract syntax** that represent expressions, then the function **E** maps **Expr** on functions which describe **expression evaluation**:

```
E: Expr \rightarrow (State \rightarrow Value)
```

In this case the semantic expression functions **compute a value in a particular state**. **Side-effects** of expression evaluation can not be modelled this way. In that case the evaluation function had to return a potentially changed state:

E: Expr \rightarrow (State \rightarrow (State \times Value))

The mapping **E** is defined by enumerating the cases of the abstract syntax in the form

| Ε[| abstract syntax construct |] state | = | functional expression |
|----|---------------------------|---------|---|-----------------------|
| Еſ | X1 | S | = | Fs |

for example:

© 2007 bei Prof. Dr. Uwe Kastens

```
E [e1 + e2] s = (E [e1] s) + (E [e2] s)
. . .
E [Number] s = Number
E [Ident] (m, i, o) = m Ident the memory map applied to the identifier
```

bei Prof. Dr. Uwe Kastens © 2004 ł

Mapping of statements

Let Command be the set of all constructs of the abstract syntax that represent statements, then the function C maps Command on functions which describe statement execution:

C: Command \rightarrow (State \rightarrow State)

In this case the semantic statement functions **compute a state transition**. **Jumps and labels** in statement execution can not be modelled this way. In that case an additional functional argument would be needed, which models the continuation after execution of the specified construct, **continuation semantics**.

The mapping c is defined by enumerating the cases of the abstract syntax in the form

C[abstract syntax construct] state = functional expression C[X] s = F s

for example:

2007 bei Prof. Dr. Uwe Kastens

0

```
C [stmt1; stmt2] s = (C [stmt2] o C [stmt1]) s function composition
C [v := e] (m, i, o) = (M [(E [e] (m, i, o)) / v], i, o)
e is evaluated in the given state and the memory map is changed at the cell of v
C [if ex then stmt1 else stmt2] s = E[ex]s -> C[stmt1]s, C[stmt2]s
C [while ex do stmt] s =
E[ex]s -> (C[while ex do stmt] o C[stmt])s, s
...
```

```
PLaC-8.1
                     8. Source-to-source translation
Source-to-source translation:
     Translation of a high-level source language into a high-level target language.
                                                     Compiler:
    Source-to-source translator:
                                                     Programming language
    Specification language (SDL, UML, ...)
    Domain specific language (SQL, STK, ...)
                                                        Analysis
    high-level programming language
                                                        Transformation
        Analysis
                                                     Intermediate language
        Transformation
                                                        Optimization
    high-level programming language
                                                        Code generation
                                                     Machine language
```

Transformation task:

input: structure tree + properties of constructs (attributes), of entities (def. module) **output:target tree** (attributes) in textual representation

© 2004 bei Prof. Dr. Uwe Kastens



```
PLaC-8.3
         Attribute grammar for target tree construction
                                     COMPUTE
RULE: Stmt ::= Variable ':=' Expr
   Stmt.Code = MkAssign (Variable.Code, Expr.Code);
END;
RULE: Variable ::= Variable '.' Selector COMPUTE
   Variable[1].Code = MkSelect (Variable[2].Code, Selector.Bind);
END;
RULE: Variable ::= Variable '[' Expr ']'
                                     COMPUTE
   Variable[1].Code = MkIndex (Variable[2].Code, Expr.Code);
END;
RULE: Variable ::= Useldent
                                     COMPUTE
   Variable.Code = MkAddr (Useldent.Bind);
END;
RULE: Expr ::= Useldent
                                     COMPUTE
   Expr.Code = MkCont (MkAddr (Useldent.Bind));
END;
```

Generator for creation of structured target texts

PLaC-8.4

PLaC-8.5

Tool PTG: Pattern-based Text Generator

Creation of structured texts in arbitrary languages. Used as computations in the abstract tree, and also in arbitrary C programs. Principle shown by examples:

1. Specify output pattern with insertion points:

ProgramFrame: \$
 "void main () {\n"
 \$
 "}\n"
Exit: "exit (" \$ int ");\n"
IOInclude: "#include <stdio.h>"

2. PTG generates a function for each pattern; calls produce target structure:

```
PTGNode a, b, c;
a = PTGIOInclude ();
b = PTGExit (5);
c = PTGProgramFrame (a, b);
```

correspondingly with attribute in the tree

3. Output of the target structure:

```
PTGOut (c); Or PTGOutFile ("Output.c", c);
```

PTG Patterns for creation of HTML-Texts

```
concatenation of texts:
     Seq:
                   $$
large heading:
     Heading:
                   "<H1>" $1 string "</H1>\n"
small heading:
     Subheading: "<H3>" $1 string "</H3>\n"
paragraph:
     Paragraph:
                  "<P>\n" $1
Lists and list elements:
                   "<UL>\n" $ "</UL>\n"
     List:
     Listelement: "<LI>" $ "</LI>\n"
Hyperlink:
     Hyperlink: "<A HREF=\"" $1 string "\">" $2 string "</A>"
Text example:
  <H1>My favorite travel links</H1>
  <H3>Table of Contents</H3>
  <UL>
  <LI> <A HREF="#position_Maps">Maps</A></LI>
  <LI> <A HREF="#position_Train">Train</A></LI>
  </UL>
```

bei Prof. Dr. Uwe Kastens

0 2004 1

© 2005 bei Prof. Dr. Uwe Kasten





© 2011 bei Prof. Dr. Uwe Kastens

